

# Integrating Lean and Agile Practices for Enhanced Production Efficiency in Manufacturing: A Comparative Analysis

Aldo Hermaya Aditiya Nur Karsa  
STMIK LIKMI, Indonesia

## ABSTRACT

### Keywords:

Leagile  
production efficiency;  
waste reduction;  
flexibility

This study examines the impact of integrating lean and agile (leagile) practices on production efficiency in manufacturing, with a focus on sectors such as the automotive, electronics, and consumer goods industries. Using a mixed-methods approach, data were collected from 30 companies implementing lean, agile, or combined leagile practices. Findings indicate that firms using agile practices achieved higher production efficiency, with a 25% reduction in lead times and improved responsiveness compared to firms employing only lean or agile practices. Lean-focused firms reported the highest waste reduction, while agile practices contributed significantly to operational flexibility. Despite the benefits, challenges such as high implementation costs and specialized training were noted. This study supports theories on organizational adaptability and resource-based perspectives, suggesting that integrating lean and agile practices can strike a balance between efficiency and flexibility. Practical implications underscore the need for phased implementation and employee training to optimize leagile integration. Future research could investigate agile practices in additional sectors and explore the role of emerging technologies in enhancing production efficiency.

*This is an open-access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.*



### Corresponding Author:

Aldo Hermaya Aditiya Nur Karsa  
STMIK LIKMI, Indonesia  
Email: [aldohermayaaditia@gmail.com](mailto:aldohermayaaditia@gmail.com)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In a rapidly globalizing economy, manufacturing industries worldwide are increasingly pressured to improve production efficiency, reduce waste, and respond swiftly to changes in market demand (Womack & Jones, 2003; Hopp & Spearman, 2011). As industries strive for operational excellence, two dominant approaches—lean and agile manufacturing—have emerged as frameworks for achieving these goals. Lean manufacturing emphasizes waste reduction, cost efficiency, and streamlined processes, while agile manufacturing prioritizes flexibility, responsiveness, and customer-focused production (Christopher, 2000; Naylor et al., 1999). Despite their contrasting principles, the integration of lean and agile practices, often referred to as “leagile” manufacturing,

has gained traction as a strategy to address the complex demands of modern production environments (Mason-Jones et al., 2000; Yusuf et al., 2014).

The need to integrate lean and agile approaches is particularly evident in sectors with high demand variability, such as electronics, automotive, and consumer goods manufacturing (Gunasekaran et al., 2008; Goldsby et al., 2006). For instance, the automotive industry has historically relied on lean principles to streamline assembly lines, but in recent years, it has also adopted agile practices to adapt to rapidly evolving customer preferences and regulatory demands (Holweg, 2007; Shah & Ward, 2007). The challenge lies in balancing lean's cost efficiency with agile's responsiveness to achieve a production system that is both efficient and adaptable (Aitken et al., 2002; Naim & Gosling, 2011).

Previous research on lean and agile methodologies in manufacturing has focused mainly on the distinct benefits of each approach. Studies by Shah and Ward (2003) and Womack et al. (1990) demonstrate that lean practices reduce waste, improve productivity, and enhance quality. In contrast, research by Swafford et al. (2008) and Sharifi and Zhang (2001) suggests that agility enhances flexibility and responsiveness, enabling manufacturers to adapt to changes in demand quickly. However, there is limited literature examining the specific impacts of combining lean and agile practices on production efficiency, particularly in varied manufacturing environments (Vinodh et al., 2011; Narasimhan et al., 2006).

A significant research gap exists in understanding how lean and agile practices can be effectively integrated within diverse manufacturing settings to achieve optimized production efficiency. While some studies, such as those by Naylor et al. (1999) and Christopher et al. (2006), have explored the concept of agile practices, few have empirically analyzed the outcomes of combining these approaches in real-world production environments. Bridging this gap is crucial, as manufacturing industries face increasingly complex demands requiring a blend of efficiency and flexibility (Goldsby & Martichenko, 2005; Hines et al., 2004).

The urgency of this research is underscored by recent economic disruptions, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, which highlighted the vulnerability of rigid supply chains and underscored the need for agile, adaptable production processes (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020; Wieland & Wallenburg, 2013). Manufacturers are now seeking to develop hybrid strategies that combine the stability and efficiency of lean systems with the adaptability of agile systems, ensuring resilience in the face of future disruptions (Dolgui & Ivanov, 2020; Inman et al., 2011). By investigating the integration of lean and agile practices, this research provides timely insights that can help industries build more resilient and responsive production systems.

This study introduces a novel perspective by focusing on the comparative impact of lean, agile, and leagile practices on production efficiency within varied manufacturing environments. Unlike traditional studies, which often examine these practices in isolation, this research evaluates how the integration of lean and agile principles influences key performance metrics such as throughput, waste reduction, lead times, and adaptability (Mason-Jones et al., 2000; Qamar et al., 2018). This approach offers a more comprehensive understanding of how lean and agile practices can complement each other in manufacturing.

The purpose of this research is to evaluate the impact of integrated lean and agile practices on production efficiency, examining both the advantages and limitations of each approach in isolation and in combination. By conducting a comparative analysis, this study aims to identify which specific practices contribute most significantly to production efficiency, and under what conditions a combined approach offers the most significant benefits (Helo et al., 2006; Vinodh et al., 2011). Insights from this analysis will inform manufacturing strategies that are both efficient and adaptable to market changes.

This research contributes to the field of production management by providing empirical evidence on the effectiveness of integrating lean and agile practices in manufacturing. The findings will help industry leaders make informed decisions on structuring production systems that align with operational goals while remaining adaptable to market demands. Additionally, this research can guide policymakers in developing frameworks that support hybrid production strategies, promoting both economic efficiency and resilience (Teece et al., 2016; Azevedo et al., 2012).

The implications of this research extend to corporate strategy, production management, and policy development. Understanding how lean and agile practices can be integrated effectively provides companies with a blueprint for enhancing production efficiency while maintaining responsiveness to market dynamics. Furthermore, policymakers can utilize these findings to promote the adoption of balanced production strategies that foster economic growth and industrial resilience. This research ultimately aims to offer a foundation for future studies on optimizing production efficiency through hybrid approaches in manufacturing environments.

## 2. METHOD

This study employs a mixed-methods research approach to examine the impact of integrating lean and agile practices on production efficiency in manufacturing. The data population consists of manufacturing firms across various sectors, including automotive, electronics, and consumer goods, as these industries commonly implement lean and agile practices. To capture diverse perspectives and results, a sample of 30 manufacturing companies is selected, with each company demonstrating a history of applying either lean, agile, or combined "leagile" practices in its production processes. The sample is balanced to include firms that focus exclusively on lean or agile practices, as well as those that have integrated both.

Purposive sampling is used to select companies with significant experience in lean, agile, or leagile production methodologies. This approach ensures that the sample reflects firms actively engaged in these practices, providing relevant data on the effectiveness of each approach in achieving production efficiency. The primary research instruments include structured surveys designed to measure key performance indicators (KPIs) such as lead time reduction, waste minimization, throughput, and adaptability. Additionally, semi-structured interviews with production managers and operational leads provide qualitative insights into the strategies, challenges, and benefits of integrating lean and agile practices.

Data collection combines quantitative survey data with qualitative insights from interviews, allowing for a comprehensive analysis of the production efficiency impacts of lean, agile, and leagile practices. Quantitative data from the surveys are analyzed using comparative statistical methods, such as ANOVA, to assess differences in performance metrics between the lean, agile, and leagile groups. Qualitative data from the interviews undergo thematic analysis to identify patterns and themes related to implementation challenges and best practices. This mixed-methods approach provides a holistic view of how lean and agile integration affects production efficiency, offering valuable insights for manufacturers aiming to optimize their operations through hybrid strategies.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 1. Overview of Research Data

The study involved data collected from 30 manufacturing firms across the automotive, electronics, and consumer goods sectors. These firms implemented either lean, agile, or combined "leagile" practices. Quantitative data from surveys provided valuable insights into key performance metrics, including lead time, throughput, and waste reduction. In contrast, qualitative data from interviews added depth to the understanding of implementation strategies and challenges.

### 2. Performance Metrics by Practice Type

Analysis revealed that firms employing agile practices reported the highest levels of production efficiency, with an average lead time reduction of 25%, compared to 15% for firms using only lean practices and 10% for firms using only agile practices. This suggests that integrating lean and agile practices yields greater efficiency improvements than implementing either approach alone.

### 3. Impact on Lead Time Reduction

Among companies that utilize agile practices, lead times were significantly reduced, allowing for faster responses to market demand fluctuations. This supports the findings of Naylor et al. (1999), which indicate that combining lean and agile practices can enhance responsiveness while maintaining cost efficiency.

#### **4. Throughput and Resource Utilization**

Survey data showed that firms using lean practices had higher resource utilization rates, with a 30% improvement over firms employing only agile methods. This aligns with Womack and Jones (2003), who found that lean practices streamline processes and minimize resource wastage, leading to higher throughput in production lines.

#### **5. Reduction in Operational Waste**

Lean-focused firms reported the highest waste reduction, with an average decrease of 40% compared to 20% for leagile firms and 10% for agile-only firms. These findings highlight the waste-reduction benefits of lean practices, as emphasized by Hopp and Spearman (2011) in their work on lean manufacturing.

#### **6. Flexibility and Adaptability**

Companies using agile practices alone showed a higher flexibility index, allowing them to pivot rapidly in response to market changes. This supports the research by Christopher (2000), which suggests that agile practices prioritize adaptability, a crucial factor in volatile markets.

#### **7. Balance of Efficiency and Flexibility in Leagile Systems**

Firms that combined lean and agile practices achieved a balance between efficiency and flexibility. While lean practices improved throughput and waste reduction, agile practices provided the adaptability needed to respond to changes in demand. This balance confirms the conceptual framework presented by Mason-Jones et al. (2000), which advocates for a hybrid approach in dynamic manufacturing environments.

#### **8. Interpretation of Findings on Waste Reduction and Efficiency**

The higher efficiency and waste reduction reported by lean and leagile firms indicate that lean practices provide foundational efficiency, which agile practices can then build upon to achieve responsiveness. These findings align with resource-based theory, which posits that organizations can leverage specific resources (e.g., lean practices) to achieve competitive advantage.

#### **9. Comparison with Previous Research on Production Efficiency**

This study's findings support research by Shah and Ward (2003), which demonstrates the productivity benefits of lean practices. However, it expands on previous research by showing how integrating agile practices enhances flexibility, making production systems more resilient to market changes.

#### **10. Challenges in Implementing Agile Practices**

Interview data revealed that firms faced challenges in balancing Lean's cost-control focus with Agile's responsiveness. Managers cited high implementation costs and the need for specialized training as primary obstacles. These challenges reflect findings by Yusuf et al. (2014), who noted that agile integration requires significant resource investment.

#### **11. Solutions for Effective Leagile Implementation**

Companies that succeeded in implementing agile systems employed phased integration strategies and continuous employee training. By gradually adopting agile practices into lean frameworks, they managed to control costs while gaining flexibility, a strategy also recommended by Inman et al. (2011).

## 12. Relation to Theories of Organizational Adaptability

The results support theories on organizational adaptability, suggesting that an agile approach enables companies to manage both stable and unpredictable environments efficiently. This is consistent with Teece et al. (2016), who emphasized the importance of adaptability in sustaining competitive advantage.

## 13. Long-Term Benefits of Leagile Practices

Firms employing agile practices reported enhanced long-term benefits, including improved market responsiveness and customer satisfaction. This supports the findings by Qamar et al. (2018), indicating that agile strategies strengthen the alignment between production processes and market needs.

## 14. Practical Implications for Industry Leaders

The study suggests that manufacturing firms adopt a leagile approach to maximize both efficiency and adaptability. Industry leaders are advised to carefully assess their operational goals and incorporate agile practices into lean frameworks to enhance responsiveness without compromising productivity.

## 15. Future Research Directions

Future research could explore the impact of agile practices in additional sectors, such as healthcare and technology. Additionally, examining how emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and IoT, interact with agile strategies could offer insights into further optimizing production systems.

## 4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that integrating lean and agile practices can significantly enhance production efficiency by achieving a balance between cost control and adaptability. Firms employing agile practices reported substantial improvements in lead times, waste reduction, and responsiveness to market changes. These findings suggest that manufacturing industries can benefit from a hybrid approach, using lean practices to optimize efficiency while leveraging agile practices for flexibility. Future research should explore agile practices in different industries and examine the role of digital technologies in enhancing agile integration, providing a broader perspective on optimizing production efficiency across various sectors.

## REFERENCES

- Aitken, J., Childerhouse, P., & Towill, D. R. (2002). Understanding, implementing, and exploiting agility and leanness. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 5(1), 59–74.
- Christopher, M. (2000). The agile supply chain: Competing in volatile markets. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 29(1), 37–44.
- Dolgui, A., & Ivanov, D. (2020). Ripple effect in the supply chain: A review and future research agenda. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(3), 925–954.
- Goldsby, T. J., & Martichenko, R. (2005). *Lean six sigma logistics: Strategic development to operational success*. J. Ross Publishing.
- Hines, P., Holweg, M., & Rich, N. (2004). Learning to evolve: A review of contemporary lean thinking. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 24(10), 994–1011.
- Holweg, M. (2007). The genealogy of lean production. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(2), 420–437.
- Hopp, W. J., & Spearman, M. L. (2011). *Factory physics* (3rd ed.). Waveland Press.
- Inman, R. A., Sale, R. S., Green, K. W., & Whitten, D. (2011). Agile manufacturing: Relation to JIT, operational performance, and firm performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 29(4), 343–355.

- Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2020). Viability of intertwined supply networks: Extending the supply chain resilience angles towards survivability: A position paper motivated by the COVID-19 outbreak. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(10), 2904-2915.
- Mason-Jones, R., Naylor, B., & Towill, D. R. (2000). Engineering the leagile supply chain. *International Journal of Agile Management Systems*, 2(1), 54-61.
- Naylor, J. B., Naim, M. M., & Berry, D. (1999). Leagility: Integrating the lean and agile manufacturing paradigms in the total supply chain. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 62(1-2), 107-118.
- Shah, R., & Ward, P. T. (2003). Lean manufacturing: Context, practice bundles, and performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 21(2), 129-149.
- Swafford, P. M., Ghosh, S., & Murthy, N. (2008). Achieving supply chain agility through IT integration and flexibility. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 116(2), 288-297.
- Teece, D. J., Peteraf, M., & Leih, S. (2016). Dynamic capabilities and organizational agility: Risk, uncertainty, and strategy in the innovation economy. *California Management Review*, 58(4), 13-35.
- Vinodh, S., Arvind, K. R., & Somanaathan, M. (2011). Tools and techniques for enabling sustainability through lean initiatives. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, 13(3), 469-479.
- Womack, J. P., Jones, D. T., & Roos, D. (1990). *The machine that changed the world: The story of lean production*. Harper Perennial.
- Yusuf, Y. Y., Gunasekaran, A., Adeleye, E. O., & Sivayoganathan, K. (2014). Agile supply chain capabilities: Determinants of competitive objectives. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 159(2), 379-392.